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Early childhood curriculum frameworks place strong emphasis on the need for educators to build cultural competence. In the Australian context, a key component of this work relates to competencies with acknowledging and responding to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultures and communities. Despite emphasis on the importance of this work, the how and why of embedding Indigenous perspectives continues to raise concerns for many non-Indigenous educators. Educators may question their approach, knowledge base, and the right to teach about cultural ways of being, knowing and that are different to their own perspectives (see Lampert, 2005).

It is recognised that non-Indigenous educators cannot be experts on Indigenous Australia (Lampert, 2005), although they can demonstrate preparedness to understand and value cultural diversity. When doing so, educators access and make use of appropriate resources, design inclusive curricula, and engage the support and expertise of others including families and members of the local Indigenous community. Educator preparedness also relates to deep knowledge of how a person’s own cultural background influences their thinking and practices, and shapes how they view and respond to people from cultural backgrounds different from their own.

Evaluating approaches to embedding Indigenous perspectives

As the majority of educators in Australia are non-Indigenous, ongoing discussion and critique of attempts to embed Indigenous perspectives is imperative. In my work in non-Indigenous child care services, I have noted the different ways educators make attempts to embed Indigenous perspectives in practice. Differences in this work can be seen in the ways educators:

- assemble and use resources including books, posters and dolls
- give recognition to Indigenous sovereignty and
- form genuine working relationships with Indigenous people.

In line with broader approaches to Reconciliation (see Burridge, 2009), educators’ work is often reflective of practical, symbolic or substantive approaches to embedding Indigenous perspectives. The table on the following page outlines some examples of practice that align with these three approaches. It provides a useful framework for educators to use when considering the prevalence of particular practices within their service, as well as ways to develop their work further.

When used in combination, these three approaches to embedding Indigenous perspectives can result in effective practices. A practical approach provides visual cues to families and visitors that Indigenous perspectives are a key part of the daily curriculum. Practices aligned with a symbolic approach give recognition to Indigenous sovereignty and can provoke educators to rethink what they
Different approaches to embedding Indigenous perspectives in practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Examples of practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Practical | Inclusion of puzzles, posters, books, dolls, artwork and images representing Indigenous peoples and cultures  
Atypical curriculum activities such as painting boomerangs and didgeridoos, dot paintings and outdoor cultural games |
| Symbolic  | Display of an Aboriginal flag and Torres Strait Islander flag in the reception area  
*Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners* spoken as part of the curriculum and at key events  
Map of Aboriginal Australia on display and used within curriculum activities |
| Substantive | The development of working relationships with Indigenous people and organisations in the local community  
Procedures that invite the participation of Indigenous people in decision-making processes  
Remuneration offered for the expertise of Indigenous people  
The development of a workforce strategy to employ Indigenous child care professionals within the service |

understand about historical and contemporary circumstances for Indigenous and non-Indigenous people in Australia. A substantive approach makes space for Indigenous self-representation, meaning Indigenous people can represent themselves in ways in which they want people to ‘know’ about and relate to them (Chalmers, 2005). While there are benefits to all three approaches, there are also cautions about how the work of embedding Indigenous perspectives is framed and understood, as outlined here.

**Practical**
- When used in isolation, a practical approach can be tokenistic. Resources and experiences that are reflective of Indigenous peoples and cultures should be core to the curriculum, rather than being ‘add-ons’ or one-off activities. Experiences focussed on music, art and dance provide important avenues for exploration, but shouldn’t be used in place of rich discussion about diversities within and across Indigenous groups, and broader issues.
- Some resources may show stereotypical or negative images of Indigenous peoples and cultures. Consider if there is a balance of more traditional and contemporary images available in the classroom. Monitor ‘teacher-talk’ and the ways children talk about and make use of the resources available to them.

**Symbolic**
- Consider if there is ongoing discussion about the purpose of displaying symbols of Indigenous sovereignty, including an Aboriginal flag and Torres Strait Islander flag, and map of Aboriginal Australia. What do educators, families and children understand about these symbols? Beyond imagery, how does the service demonstrate acknowledgement and support of Indigenous sovereignty? Are symbols used in place of the presence of Indigenous people?

**Substantive**
- How are potential interactions between non-Indigenous and Indigenous people framed? Who will benefit most from these interactions? How can interactions promote reciprocal benefits?
• What is it that you are asking Indigenous people to do? Do you have preconceived ideas about how they will represent themselves and participate within service activities? Consider how the service will remunerate Indigenous visitors for their time and expertise.

Common questions
Alongside attempts to embed Indigenous perspectives in practice, educators must continue to evaluate the purpose they attach to this work. Questions about why services should focus specifically on Indigenous perspectives and how this benefits white children are common. Such questions provide insight into educator knowledge and reflect a need to attach meaning and purpose to one’s work. The following questions (Q) and responses (R) provide examples of typical communication with (fellow) non-Indigenous educators in research and at professional development events:

Q: We live in a multicultural country. Why do I need to focus on Indigenous perspectives?
R: Indigenous peoples have histories in Australia dating back some 60,000 years. Indigenous people are recognised as the first Australians, meaning they have sovereignty rights to the country we now know as Australia. Consider that, from an Indigenous perspective, everyone who arrived after 1788 might be regarded as ethnic (Goodnow & Lawrence, 2008). Because of the unique relationship of Indigenous people to Australia, Indigenous perspectives should be present within the curriculum at all times. Services should then respond to the different ethnicities of children attending a service at any given time.

Q: We don’t have any Indigenous children attending our centre. Is it necessary here when all of our children are white?
R: For Anglo-Australian children, explorations of Indigenous perspectives are crucial. From a young age, children develop strong ideas about their own identity and the identities of others. White children are rarely confronted by negative or stereotypical images of themselves in classrooms based on their culture, but discrimination affects everyone. As Derman-Sparks and Ramsey (2006, p. 1) explain, ‘a false sense of racial superiority is damaging, causes isolation, and ill-prepares children to function in a diverse society.’

Q: I’m afraid I’ll do or say something offensive, so I just don’t make it a focus.
R: Fear of causing offense is a common reason for inaction. It is accepted generally that doing something (of value) is better than doing nothing. When educators make attempts to embed Indigenous perspective, they create a base from which to improve and refine practice. The key to this work is commitment to ongoing reflection and critique of one’s thinking and practices.

Conclusion
Providing evidence of attempts to embed Indigenous perspectives is becoming a professional imperative. Non-Indigenous educators have a pivotal role in this work given they make up the majority of the teaching force in Australia. Preparedness for responding to cultural diversity can present challenges for non-Indigenous services and educators but, when this work is done well through commitment and ongoing evaluation, there are clear benefits for non-Indigenous and Indigenous children, families and community.
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